HUTP-92/A013
SISSA 44/92/EP

arXiv:hep-th/9203066v1 24 Mar 1992

Massive Orbifolds

Sergio Cecotti
International School for Advanced Studies, SISSA-ISAS
Trieste and I.N.F.N., sez. di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

Cumrun Vafa
Lyman Laboratory of Physics
Harvard University
Cambridge, Ma 02138, USA

We study some aspects of 2d supersymmetric sigma models on orbifolds. It turns out that independently of whether the 2d QFT is conformal the operator products of twist operators are non-singular, suggesting that massive (non-conformal) orbifolds also 'resolve singularities' just as in the conformal case. Moreover we recover the OPE of twist operators for conformal theories by considering the UV limit of the massive orbifold correlation functions. Alternatively, we can use the OPE of twist fields at the conformal point to derive conditions for the existence of non-singular solutions to special non-linear differential equations (such as Painleve III).

3/92

Orbifolds [1] are among the simplest class of solutions to string theory. The simplest type of orbifolds arise by considering propagation of strings on quotients of tori by isometries. In such cases one generically ends up with a quotient which is singular due to the existence of fixed points of the group action. However it turns out that despite the singularity of the geometry, the 2d CFT corresponding to it is non-singular; this simply means that the correlation functions of these theories, which can be computed in closed form [2][3][4][5] are finite. The main correlation functions to check are those of twist fields which create strings which are stuck at the vicinity of the fixed points. Let us consider strings propagating on a Kahler manifold M of dimension d. Let G be a discrete group of isometries of M. Then M/G is the orbifold we wish to study. If M is Calabi-Yau and G leaves the holomorphic d-form invariant, then M/G is itself a (possibly singular) Calabi-Yau manifold. However if M is not a Calabi-Yau manifold then the resulting QFT is not conformal and will have massive excitations[1]. We call the resulting orbifolds *massive orbifolds*. The case of interest for us is the case of superstrings which corresponds to supersymmetric sigma models on M/G.

Many of the properties of orbifolds discussed in the context of conformal theories [1] continue to hold in the massive case as well. In particular the Hilbert space of strings on M/G differs from that of M by introducing one twisted sector H_g for each element $g \in G$ which correspond to strings which are periodic up to g action, and then projecting to the $G-$invariant subsector. In order to study potential singularities of orbifold correlation functions we need to concentrate on fields which are stuck at the fixed points of the G-action. These states will correspond, for each H_g (in the Ramond sector), to the lightest states in that sector. Luckily, these lightest states in the context of superstrings will have topological significance. In particular the ground states in H_g are in one to one correspondence with the cohomology elements of M_g where $M_g \subset M$ denotes the submanifold of M left invariant by g. This follows from the topological nature of ground states of sigma models [6] applied to the $g-$th twisted sector [1]. They are also in one to one correspondence with the chiral fields in the NS sector of H_g by spectral flow. These chiral twist fields are what we will mainly study. It is well known that the chiral fields form a ring called the chiral ring which has topological properties. This ring has been studied in various works [7][8][9]. To find the chiral fields of the full theory we just need to take a

[1] In order to have an asymptotically free theory we assume that the first chern class of M evaluated on any two cycle is positive.

direct sum of chiral fields from each twisted sector and project the total Hilbert space to the $G-$invariant subspace.

The chiral fields in the untwisted sector H_1 form a ring which is a quantum deformation (due to instantons) of the cohomology ring of M [10]. As we will see below a simple modification of this approach allows us to compute the chiral ring for the M/G theory. So it is natural to expect that this ring should be a quantum deformation of the cohomology ring of M/G. Such an expectation is indeed justifiable in the context of Calabi-Yau manifold where one can resolve the singularities and obtain a non-singular Calabi-Yau manifold. Since for non-singular Calabi-Yau manifolds this is indeed true, and since orbifolds are physically non-singular limits of them, the same is true for the chiral ring for the orbifolds. In particular studying the structure of M_g allows one to compute the Euler character of M/G rather easily [1] which agrees with the corresponding computation for the resolved Calabi-Yau manifold [11]. This correspondence between the chiral ring of M/G theory and the (quantum) cohomology ring of the resolved manifold M/G (even ignoring the ambiguities in the resolution) turns out not to continue beyond the Calabi-Yau case. Even the dimensions of the two rings in general will be different. In a sense in the non-Calabi-Yau case we get elements in the chiral ring of the orbifold which morally speaking are the analogs of 'harmonic forms with fractional degree', and so have no simple geometrical analog on the manifold[2].

Instead of being general it is more instructive to consider a concrete example: Take S^2 with a round metric and let G be the Z_n group generated by g corresponding to rotation of S^2 about an axis by $2\pi/n$. Let us count the ground states in each sector. In the untwisted sector we have the ordinary cohomology elements of S^2 which we represent by $1, x$ where x is the two form. In the sector H_{g^l} for $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ we get two fixed points corresponding to the 'north' (N) and 'south' (S) poles of S^2, and the chiral fields corresponding to the north pole in the l-th sector we denote by N_l and to the south pole in the $(n-l)$-th sector[3] we denote by S_l. All of these elements are G invariant and so they survive the G-projection. Now it is clear that these elements are not related to the cohomology elements of the resolution of S^2/G which is itself an S^2. Nevertheless it is not

[2] They are cohomology elements on the *loop space* of the orbifold.

[3] Note that the reason for change of convention for the north versus south pole is that holomorphicity of strings on the north pole and on the south pole correspond to twisted states with opposite twists.

too difficult to compute the ring they generate. The way to do this is to use the topological formulation of sigma models [10] and generalize it to the orbifold case. As reviewed at length in [12] the topological correlation functions encode the full information about the chiral ring of the non-topological theory, and in particular the ring can be read off from the two and three point functions of the topological theory. For the ordinary sigma models we represent cohomology elements by cycles of dual degree. The topological two point function of these elements is just the intersection number of the corresponding cycles and the three point functions of the chiral fields, which using the topological metric (two point function) defines the ring, is given by [10]

$$C_{ijk} =< \phi_i(z_1)\phi_j(z_2)\phi_k(z_3) >= \sum_{inst.} exp(-S) \tag{1}$$

where sum is over holomorphic instantons which map CP^1 holomorphically to M subject to sending z_1 to the C_i cycle, z_2 to the C_j cycle and z_3 to the C_k cycle. The instantons contribute if there is a discrete number of them[4] (if there is a family of them it is defined to be zero). Here S denotes the instanton action. Applying these to the sphere we have the two and three point functions

$$< 1x >= 1$$

$$< xxx >= \beta$$

where β denotes the exponential of degree one instanton action (which in this case is (up to a phase) just the exponential of the minus the area of the two sphere). The last identity follows from the above rules and noting that x is represented as a 0-cycle, i.e., any point on S^2. From these one reads the ring relation

$$x^2 = \beta$$

Now we come to the orbifold case. The first thing to note is that we have a selection rule for interactions of strings on orbifolds: A correlation involving strings in $(g_1, ...g_k)$ sectors is non-vanishing only if $\prod g_i = 1$. From the above selection rule we see that the two point function does not vanish only between sectors which are inverse of one another. Consider the Hilbert spaces H_g and $H_{g^{-1}}$. Then we represent the chiral states in these sectors by

[4] More precisely, if the virtual dimension of the relevant moduli space vanishes. In the general case the instanton number should be replaced by the Euler character of a certain bundle [13].

cycles of M_g. Note that $M_g = M_{g^{-1}}$. Then the two point functions of these chiral fields is simply the intersection of corresponding cycles of M_g. In the case of S^2/Z_n we have

$$< N_l N_{n-l} >=< S_l S_{n-l} >= 1$$

and the rest of the two point functions (of twisted chiral fields) vanish. All we need to do to complete the picture is to generalize (1) for the orbifold case. The generalization follows form the rules of computation of correlation functions for orbifolds and leads to the following answer [14]: Consider a Riemann surface Σ such that Σ/G is a two sphere with three branches points at z_1, z_2 and z_3. Moreover, as we go around these points on the two sphere we get monodromies of the fiber given by monodromy elements g_1, g_2, g_3. Now fix three cycles in M_{g_1}, M_{g_2} and M_{g_3} corresponding to elements of chiral ring whose correlation functions we wish to compute. Then we just use the same formula as (1) except that the space of instantons is holomorphic maps from Σ to M, such that it is 'G-equivariant' which means that the points on Σ which are g-transform of one another, get mapped to points of M which are g-transform of each other and such that the points corresponding to the pre-images of z_1, z_2, z_3 in Σ get mapped to the corresponding cycles in $M_{g_1}, M_{g_2}, M_{g_3}$ respectively. Also the instanton action is the one corresponding to the map from the sphere, which is the same as the action for the map from Σ to M divided by the order of the group $S = S_\Sigma/|G|$.

Going back to our concrete example of S^2/Z_n we compute the non-trivial three point functions and find

$$< N_{l_1} N_{l_2} N_{n-l_1-l_2} >=< S_{l_1} S_{l_2} S_{n-l_1-l_2} >= 1 \tag{2}$$

$$< N_l S_l \; x >= \beta^{l/n} \tag{3}$$

(with $l_1 + l_2 < n$). The equation (2) and the two point functions imply that

$$N_{l_1} N_{l_2} = N_{l_1+l_2} = N^{l_1+l_2} \qquad and \quad (N \to S)$$

$$N^n = S^n = x \tag{4}$$

where N and S denote the corresponding chiral fields in the singly twisted sectors. These ring relations are more or less obvious when one pictures an l-th twisted string state as a string wrapped on a small arc about a pole which spans $2\pi l/n$ in angle. The equation (3) might look a little less obvious, but that can also be easily understood when we realize that

the field x is represented by a point on the sphere and so (3) corresponds to a holomorphic map which maps the worldsheet sphere to the fraction l/n of the target sphere, mapping the north pole (where we have inserted N_l) to north pole, south pole (where we have inserted S_l) to south pole, and the third point (where we have inserted x) to a point on the sphere corresponding to x. Clearly the action for this is l/n times the action of an instanton of the original theory, which thus explains the factor $\beta^{l/n}$ in (3). From this equation we can read the ring relations

$$N^l x = \beta^{l/n} S^{n-l} \qquad and \quad (N \leftrightarrow S) \tag{5}$$

$$N^l S^l = \beta^{l/n} \tag{6}$$

Note that these relations are compatible with the original ring relation $x^2 = \beta$, as would follow by multiplying (5) by x. In particular x forms a two dimensional representation when acting on the vacuum states corresponding to ($|N^l\rangle$, $|S^{n-l}\rangle$).

Note that given the fact that x is a $(1,1)$ form, equation (4) shows that N, S are $(1/n, 1/n)$ forms. This statement can be made precise in the UV limit (i.e., when instantons are suppressed and chiral fermion number is conserved) by showing that S, N have left-right chiral fermion numbers given by $1/n$. This shows that we cannot hope to have a simple geometrical meaning attached to these fields in general as mentioned earlier.

To see whether or not the twist fields correspond to singular fields, given the fact that they have a very simple and non-singular ring relation (4) all we have to check is whether their normalizations are singular. For that purpose it suffices to consider the Ramond ground states they create when acting on vacuum. So we need to compute

$$e^{q_l} = \langle \bar{N}^l | N^l \rangle = \langle \bar{S}^l | S^l \rangle$$

$$e^{p_l} = \langle \bar{N}^l | S^{n-l} \rangle = \langle \bar{S}^l | N^{n-l} \rangle$$

where the second equality in the above equations simply follow from the symmetry in exchanging the north and south poles, and the other norms between twist fields which we have not written down are identically zero due to the Z_n symmetry of orbifolds mentioned before. These norms are in general function of the area A of the sphere which here is encoded in β by $A = -\log|\beta|$. So we wish to find the β dependence of the above metrics, and see whether or not they are singular for any value of β. The machinery for doing this has been discussed in [12]. In particular, the case corresponding to the un-orbifoldized

S^2, leading to the computation of $\langle \bar{x}|x\rangle$ and $\langle \bar{1}|1\rangle$ has been discussed in [15]. We will now summarize the results of [12] which are relevant for us here. The main conclusion is that if we consider perturbing an $N = 2$ theory by perturbing the superpotential

$$\Delta L = \int \Delta W(\phi, t) d^2z d^2\theta + c.c.$$

where t denotes coupling constants, and if we denote the action of the chiral field dW (the variation of W with respect to t) on the ground states by the matrix C, and if we denote the metric of the ground states by the matrix g, then (in a holomorphic basis) we have

$$\bar{\partial}(g\partial g^{-1}) = [C, gC^{\dagger}g^{-1}] \tag{7}$$

where partials refer to derivatives with respect to t and $\bar{t}$. In addition if the two point function of the chiral fields is denoted by η, then CPT invariance of the theory implies

$$(g^{-1}\eta)(g^{-1}\eta)^* = 1 \tag{8}$$

Now we apply these to our case where $W = -\log\beta\ x$ and $t = \beta$, concentrating on the metric for the twisted ground state. Using the form of η discussed above, and applying (8) we find that $e^{p_l} = 0$ and so we have only e^{q_l} to compute. In this case g is a diagonal matrix in the basis given by powers of N and S. The matrix C can be represented using the ring relations as

$$C = \frac{-1}{\beta}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta^{\frac{l}{n}} \\ \beta^{\frac{l-n}{n}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

acting on the vacuum subspace spanned by $(|N^l\rangle, |S^{n-l}\rangle)$. Using this, and defining

$$\tilde{q}_l = 2q_l - \frac{2l-n}{n}\log|\beta| \qquad z = 2\beta^{1/2} \tag{9}$$

we find that (7) reduces to the sinh-Gordon equation

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{q}_l = 4\ \sinh\ \tilde{q}_l \tag{10}$$

where derivatives are in terms of $z, \bar{z}$. One can also show that by fermion number conservation $\tilde{q}_l$ is only a function of $|z|$, which means we are looking for rotationally symmetric solutions for sinh-Gordon equation. In this case, this equation reduces to a special case of Painleve III equation [16]. We are looking for solutions of this equation which give finite values for q_l. This in particular means, using (9) that as $|\beta| \to 0$, we must have

$$\tilde{q}_l \to -\frac{2(2l-n)}{n}\log|z|/2 + const. \qquad z \to 0$$

It turns out that there are regular solutions to Painleve equation for all z, with this boundary conditions, if and only if the small $|z|$ behavior is given by [16]

$$\tilde{q}_l \simeq r\log|z| + s; \qquad \text{with} \qquad e^{s/2} = \frac{1}{2^r}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{r}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{r}{4})}$$

This is consistent with our choosing $r = -2(2l-n)/n$. So we have learnt that there exists a regular solugion for q_l for all β; this includes the region $|\beta| > 1$ (which corresponds to super-strong couplings–the sphere with *negative* area). Moreover we deduce that in the limit that the area of the sphere goes to infinity we have

$$e^{q_l(0)} = 2^{\frac{2l-n}{n}}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{l}{n})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{l}{n})} \tag{11}$$

This can be compared with the OPE of the conformal field theory, because as the area of the sphere goes to infinity we have effectively a conformal theory on the plane modded out by Z_n (the south pole can be taken to be at infinity). To compare the OPE of the twist fields with the above result we will have to normalize our fields properly. This is easily done as follows: Let $\tilde{N}_l$ denote the twist field of the $l-$th sector creating a normalized ground state and $\tilde{x}$ denote the normalized field corresponding to the Kahler form. Then we must have in the UV limit

$$\tilde{N}_l = \left[\frac{\langle\bar{1}|1\rangle}{\langle\bar{N}^l|N^l\rangle}\right]^{1/2} N^l = (2A)^{1/2}2^{-\frac{l}{n}+\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-l}{n})}{\Gamma(\frac{l}{n})}\right]^{1/2} N^l$$

$$\tilde{x} = \left[\frac{\langle\bar{1}|1\rangle}{\langle\bar{x}|x\rangle}\right]^{1/2} x = (2A)\ x$$

where we have used that in this limit $\langle\bar{1}|1\rangle = \langle\bar{x}|x\rangle^{-1} = (2A)$. From these and the ring relation $N^n = x$ we can compute the normalized OPE's:

$$\tilde{N}_{l_1}\tilde{N}_{l_2}\tilde{N}_{l_3} = (4A)^{1/2}\left[\prod_i \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-l_i}{n})}{\Gamma(\frac{l_i}{n})}\right]^{1/2}\tilde{x}$$

with $\sum l_i = n$, and similarly with $l_i \to n - l_i$ if $\sum l_i = 2n$. These results are in perfect agreement with the computations at the conformal point [3][4][5] using very different methods from the above (compare in particular with eq. (7.41) in [5])[5]. It is very amusing that

[5] Note that even though we have phrased our computations in the supersymmetric set up, our result for the OPE of twist fields is correct also for the purely bosonic one, because the twist fields corresponding to the fermionic degrees of freedom have OPE equal to one, as follows from bosonizing them and representing them as exponential of bosonic fields. Thus the fermionic degrees of freedom on the left cancel $\tilde{x}$ on the right, which is purely fermionic, in the OPE written above.

consistency of the *massive orbifold* correlations imply the correct OPE for the conformal theory computation. This result is similar to what was found in many examples considered in [12]. The above agreement with CFT computation is in our opinion a strong check for the self-consistency of massive orbifold QFT. We can also reverse the logic: Assuming that the massive orbifold theory is consistent, we can view the computation of the twist correlations in the conformal theory as solving for the good boundary conditions which lead to non-singular solutions for certain highly non-trivial non-linear differential equations such as Painleve III. In fact (combined with the result of our previous paper [15]), in this way we have recovered *all* the boundary conditions which give regular solutions to Painleve III by considering supersymmetric sigma models on CP^1 and its orbifolds. In a similar way by considering various orbifolds of CP^n, we can find radial solutions for affine Toda A_n. It would be interesting to see if this leads to all radial solutions of affine toda with all consistent boundary conditions. It would also be interesting to study the OPE of twist correlators for non-abelian orbifolds. This is a subject which has not been extensively studied even in the conformal case (see however [17]) and it would be interesting to see if the above approach allows one to compute their OPE as a regularity condition for the existence of solution to some differential equations. The self-consistency that we have found for the QFT of massive orbifold sigma models is in harmony with the result of [18] where it was found that orbifolds of non-critical statistical mechanical models are also well behaved.

What physical lessons are we learning from this exercise? For one thing we learn that the singularities of geometry, which would also be singularities of field theories (maps of world-line to the manifolds) disappear when we consider 'string theories' (i.e., maps of the world-sheet to the manifold). The physical reason for this is the fact that strings are extended objects and are less sensitive to singularities that would prove fatal for point particle theories. We have now seen that it is *not* because of conformal invariance that these singularities disappear. It holds true even in the non-conformal case that we have studied in this paper.

A second lesson we should learn is that to study the singularities of $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum field theories, it seems to be sufficient to concentrate on the chiral ring of the theory. This is so in our example because the twist fields, which were the potential source of singularities are part of the chiral ring and can be studied using powerful pseudo-topological techniques. Thus the presence or absence of singularities in the full quantum field theory can be deduced from the presence or absence of singularities in the chiral ring

itself. This is not to say that singularities never happen for $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum field theories. For example if we consider the Landau-Ginzburg theory given by the superpotential

$$W = (x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - a\ xyz)$$

at $a = 3$ it is singular (the dimension of the ring is infinite at this point). This singularity in the ring is reflected in geometry of this theory as (after a Z_3 twist) it corresponds to strings propagating on a torus given by setting $W = 0$ in CP^2 and at $a = 3$ it corresponds to a torus with a degenerate complex structure which is a singular conformal theory (in the sense of having singular OPE's). So the moral of the story is that the chiral ring encodes complete information of whether the theory is singular. Similar ideas about the topological nature of singularities has previously been expressed in the context of singularities of the two dimensional black hole by Witten [19]. Moreover, in the supersymmetric version of the black hole Eguchi has found a remarkable correspondence between the elements of the chiral ring and the singular points of space-time geometry [20] just as in the orbifold case. So to find out whether black hole singularity is resolved by the world sheet theory, we should simply study the properties of this ring, similar to what we have done here for CP^1 orbifolds, and see whether the chiral ring elements have a non-singular normalized OPE.

The research of C.V. was supported in part by Packard Foundation and NSF grants PHY-89-57162 and PHY-87-14654.

References

[1] L.Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B274 (1986) 285.

[2] S.Hamidi and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B279 (1987) 465.

[3] L. Dixon, D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B282 (1987) 13.

[4] M. Bershadsky and A. Radul, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A2 (1987) 165.

[5] V.G.Knizhnik, Comm. Math. Phys.112 (1987) 567.

[6] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B202 (1982) 253.

[7] W. Lerche, C. Vafa and N. Warner, Nucl. Phys. B324 (1989) 427.

[8] E. Martinec, *Criticality, Catastrophe and Compactifications*, V.G. Knizhnik memorial volume, 1989.

[9] S. Cecotti, L. Girardello and A. Pasquinucci, Nucl. Phys. B328 (1989) 701; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6 (1991) 2427.

[10] E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. 118 (1988) 411; Nucl. Phys. B340 (1990) 281.

[11] S.S. Roan, Int. J. of Math., v.1 (1990) 211.

[12] S. Cecotti and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B367 (1991) 359.

[13] E. Witten, *Mirror manifolds and topological field theory*, Princeton preprint IASSNS-HEP-91/83 (1991).

[14] In the topological setup this is currently being investigated by E. Zaslow.

[15] S. Cecotti and C. Vafa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 903.

[16] B.M. McCoy, C.A. Tracy and T.T. Wu, J. Math. Phys. 18 (1977) 1058; and E. Barouch, Phys. Rev. B13 (1976) 316;
A.R.Its and V.Yu.Novokshenov, *The isomonodromic Deformation Method in the Theory of Painleve Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1191, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1986.

[17] B. Gato, Nucl. Phys. B334 (1990) 414.

[18] P.Fendley and P.Ginsparg, Nucl. Phys. B324 (1989) 549.

[19] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D44 (1991) 314.

[20] T. Eguchi, *Topological field theories and the space-time singularity*, Univ. of Chicago, preprint 1991.